UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,132	08/26/2003	Joerg Schiewe	01-1394	3249
²⁸⁵⁰¹ MICHAEL P. N	7590 12/31/200 MORRIS	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION			DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA	
900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/648,132	SCHIEWE ET AL.			
Examiner-indated interview Sammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Annette F. Dixon	3771			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Afte</u>	er Allowance			
(1) <u>Annette F. Dixon</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Matthew Dernier</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 22 December 2008	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
•					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Annette F Dixon/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771					
	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Dixon discussed with Attorney Dernier an inconsistency within the specification filed on April 4, 2007. As identified by Examiner Dixon, Page 5 of the specification has two listings for "Figure 7" in the brief description of the drawings. Attorney Dernier requested Examiner Dixon delete the second instance of Figure 7, which recites "Figure 7 schematically describes a version of the device." Attached is the correction for Page 5 of the specification.